

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,994	10/19/2004	Chiu-Hao Cheng	·	5993
43333	7590 07/13/2006		EXAM	INER
	TECHNOLOGY CO	NGUYEN, KIM T		
2F-4, NO. 184 TAIPEI,	4, SEC. 4, CHUNG HSI	SEC. 4, CHUNG HSIAO EAST ROAD		PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN	TAIWAN		3713	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/711,994	CHENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kim T. Nguyen	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	•					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 435 C.D. 215.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form 1.10.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/711,994

Art Unit: 3713

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

In the specification page 1, after the Title of the invention, the section heading "CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS" should be inserted.

In the specification page 1, the updated data such as "now issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,827,648" should be inserted to the co-pending patent application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 13 recites the limitation "the amplifier" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- b) Claim 14 recites the limitation "said microphone" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis

Art Unit: 3713

added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-7 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,827,648. This is a double patenting rejection.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 8-14 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,827,648.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 8-14 of the present application disclose the same subject matter taught in claims 1-7 of patent No. 6,827,648 in broader scope by eliminating an amplifier and a microphone in the wireless receiver. Further, implementing a D/A converter and A/D converter in a receiver for converting signals would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 8-12 would be allowable if a terminal disclaimer is filed to overcome the double patenting rejection(s), set forth in this Office action.
- 6. Claims 13-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and if a terminal disclaimer is filed to overcome the double patenting rejection(s), set forth in this Office action.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to show or fairly suggest a wireless controller for a video game player as set forth in independent claim 8. Specifically, the wireless controller comprises a wireless receiver connected to the video game player comprising a first control circuit, a first communication interface, a first wireless receiving/transmitting circuit, a first memory chip receiver, a second memory chip receiver, a digital/analogue converter and an analogue/digital converter, wherein the first wireless receiving/transmitting circuit, the first memory chip receiver, the second memory chip receiver, the digital/analogue converter and the analogue/digital

Application/Control Number: 10/711,994 Page 5

Art Unit: 3713

converter are connected to the first control circuit; a sound transformer connected to

another side of the wireless receiver comprising a second control circuit and headset

jack, and a second communication interface is connected to the second control circuit,

wherein the headset jack is connected to the wireless receiver through a sound

transmission wire, and the second communication interface is conjunct in the first

memory chip receiver; and a wireless controller comprising a third control circuit and

a second wireless receiving/transmitting circuit is connected to the third control

circuit, wherein the first wireless receiving/transmitting circuit can communicate with

the second wireless receiving/transmitting circuit.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-

4441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday during business

hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Xuan Thai, can be reached on 571-272-7147. The central official fax

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

kn

Date: July 5, 2006

Kim Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3713